

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

	T	I	T	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,032	03/30/2000	Samir Kumar Brahmachari	KNS3.001AUS	7985
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 09/15/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN S	TREET	CLOW, LORI A		
FOURTEENT IRVINE, CA 9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/539,032	BRAHMACHARI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LORI A. CLOW	1631	

	LORI A. CLOW	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.) See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	in.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period off exhausted of the control of the	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appea has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	and and a state of filling a ballo	E(II)					
	3. M The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-C	omnliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		simplicate / unondimone (i	102 02-1/.				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
see below. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
	/Lori A. Clow, Ph.D./						
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631						

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 remain rejected under 35 USC 102(b) for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action. Applicant argues that "the presently claimed invention relates to the generation of overlapping peptide sequences of length N' from selected pathogenic organisms and comparing these peptides to host organism protein sequences to determine which of these conserved sequences are not present in host proteins. This results in identification of exactly matched conserved peptides". Applicant contends that in contrast, "Bruccoleri identifies approximate matches of conserved protein regions". This is not pressuasive as the claimed invention so not recite a result of the method which is an "exact match". Applicant is reminded that limitations from the specification are not read in to the claims. Therefore, the claims remain rejected